## Fibonacci Heaps

## Outline for Today

- Recap from Last Time
- Quick refresher on binomial heaps and lazy binomial heaps.
- The Need for decrease-key
- An important operation in many graph algorithms.
- Fibonacci Heaps
- A data structure efficiently supporting decreasekey.
- Representational Issues
- Some of the challenges in Fibonacci heaps.


## Recap from Last Time

## (Lazy) Binomial Heaps

- Last time, we covered the binomial heap and a variant called the lazy binomial heap.
- These are priority queue structures designed to support efficient melding.
- Elements are stored in a collection of binomial trees.
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| Order 1 |  | Order 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 2 | 6 |
| 4 |  |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{lll} 3 & 7 & 5 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 8 & 6 \end{array}$ | 2 |  | (9) |
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\begin{array}{lllll}
3 & 7 & 5 & 2 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
4 & 8 & 6 & 9
\end{array}
$$
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\begin{array}{lllll}
3 & 7 & 5 & 2 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
4 & 8 & 6 & 9
\end{array}
$$
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Lazy Binomial Heap

| Order 2 | Order 1 |  | Order 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 3 | (2) |  |
| $76$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
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Lazy Binomial Heap

| Order 2 | Order 1 | Order 0 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 3 | 10 |  |
| 7 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |
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| Order 2 | Order 1 | Order 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 5 \\ 8 & 6 \\ 8 & 6 \\ 8 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 1 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | (9) 101112 |
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| Order 2 | Order 1 | Order 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 7 8 8 | $\begin{array}{cc} 10 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 11 & 4 \end{array}$ | (9) 12 |
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| Order 2 | Order 1 | Order 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | $\begin{array}{cc} 10 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 11 & 4 \end{array}$ | (9) 12 |
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| Order 2 | Order 1 |  | Order 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 7 8 | $\begin{array}{cc} 10 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 11 & 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 1 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ |  |

Draw what happens after we do a extract-min from both heaps.
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| Order 2 | Order 1 | Order 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{ll}2 \\ 7 & 6 \\ 8 & 6 \\ 8 & \\ 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc} 10 & 3 & 9 \\ 1 & 7 & 7 \\ 11 & 4 & 12 \end{array}$ |  |
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Lazy Binomial Heap

| Order 2 | Order 1 | Order 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc}3 & 9 \\ 10 & 4 & 12 \\ 11 & & \end{array}$ |  |

Draw what happens after we do a extract-min from both heaps.
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| Order 2 |  | Order 1 | Order O |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 5 | 9 |  |
| 10 | 4 | 6 | 12 |
| 11 | 8 |  |  |
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## Operation Costs

- Eager Binomial Heap:
- enqueue: $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$
- meld: O(log $n$ )
- find-min: $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$
- extract-min: $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$
- Lazy Binomial Heap:
- enqueue: $\mathrm{O}(1)$
- meld: O(1)
- find-min: $\mathrm{O}(1)$
- extract-min: O(log $n)^{*}$
- *amortized

Intuition: Each extract-min has to do a bunch of cleanup for the earlier enqueue operations, but then leaves us with few trees.

New Stuff!

## The Need for decrease-key

## The decrease-key Operation

- Some priority queues support the operation decrease-key $(v, k)$, which works as follows: Given a pointer to an element $v$, lower its key (priority) to $k$. It is assumed that $k$ is less than the current priority of $v$.
- This operation is crucial in efficient implementations of Dijkstra's algorithm and Prim's MST algorithm.


## Dijkstra and decrease-key

- Dijkstra's algorithm can be implemented with a priority queue using
- O(n) total enqueues,
- $\mathrm{O}(n)$ total extract-mins, and
- $\mathrm{O}(m)$ total decrease-keys.
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## Dijkstra and decrease-key

- Dijkstra's algorithm can be implemented with a priority queue using
- O(n) total enqueues,
- $\mathrm{O}(n)$ total extract-mins, and
- $\mathrm{O}(m)$ total decrease-keys.
- Dijkstra's algorithm runtime is

$$
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## Prim and decrease-key

- Prim's algorithm can be implemented with a priority queue using
- O(n) total enqueues,
- O(n) total extract-mins, and
- $\mathrm{O}(m)$ total decrease-keys.
- Prim's algorithm runtime is

$$
\mathrm{O}\left(n \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{enq}}+n \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{ext}}+m \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{dec}}\right)
$$

## Standard Approaches

- In a binary heap, enqueue, extract-min, and decrease-key can be made to work in time $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ time each.
- Cost of Dijkstra's / Prim's algorithm:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{O}\left(n \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{enq}}+n \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{ext}}+m \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{dec}}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{O}(n \log n+n \log n+m \log n) \\
= & \mathbf{O}(\boldsymbol{m} \log \boldsymbol{n})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Standard Approaches

- In a lazy binomial heap, enqueue takes amortized time $\mathrm{O}(1)$, and extract-min and decrease-key take amortized time O(log $n$ ).
- Cost of Dijkstra's / Prim's algorithm:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{O}\left(n \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{enq}}+n \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{ext}}+m \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{dec}}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{O}(n+n \log n+m \log n) \\
= & \mathbf{O}(\boldsymbol{m} \log \boldsymbol{n})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Where We're Going

- The Fibonacci heap has these amortized runtimes:
- enqueue: $\mathrm{O}(1)$
- extract-min: O(log n).
- decrease-key: O(1).
- Cost of Prim's or Dijkstra's algorithm:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{O}\left(n \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{enq}}+n \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{ext}}+m \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{dec}}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{O}(n+n \log n+m) \\
= & \mathbf{O}(\boldsymbol{m}+\boldsymbol{n} \log \boldsymbol{n})
\end{aligned}
$$

- This is theoretically optimal for a comparison-based priority queue in Dijkstra's or Prim's algorithms.

The Challenge of decrease-key
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What We Used to Do
Problem: What do we do in an extract-min?

| 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 76 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
|  | - 1 |  | 1 |  |
|  | 910 |  | 4 |  |


| Order 2 | Order 1 | Order 0 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 3 | 9 | 10 |
|  | 11 | 12 |  |  |
|  | 6 | 4 |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |
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| Order 2 | Order 1 |  | Order 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 3 | 9 |
|  | 11 |  |  |
| 1 | 6 | 4 | 10 |
| 1 | 12 |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |

What We Used to Do
Problem: What do we do in an extract-min?


| Order 2 | Order 1 |  | Order O |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 5 | 3 | 11 |
|  | 6 | 9 | 4 |
| 1 | 12 |  |  |
| 8 | 10 |  |  |

What We Used to Do
Problem: What do we do in an extract-min?
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Problem: What do we do in an extract-min?


| Order 2 | Order 1 | Order 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 11 |  |
| 41 | 1 |  |
| $5 \quad 9 \quad 4$ | 12 |  |
| 111 |  |  |
| 7610 |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |

Problem: What do we do in an extract-min?


11 This system assumes we can
That's easy with binomial trees.
That's harder with our new trees.
What should we do here?

What We Used to Do
Problem: What do we do in an extract-min?


What We Used to Do
Problem: What do we do in an extract-min?


| Order 2 | Order 0 | Order 1 | Order O |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Order O | Order 0 |  |  |
| 7 | 6 | 3 | 10 |

What We Used to Do
Problem: What do we do in an extract-min?


What We Used to Do
Problem: What do we do in an extract-min?


| Order 2 | Order 0 | Order 1 | Order O |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Order 0 | Order O |  |  |
| 7 | 6 | 10 | Idea $1:$ A tree has order $k$ <br> if it has $2^{k}$ nodes. |
| Idea 2: A tree has order $k$ |  |  |  |
| if its root has $k$ children. |  |  |  |

What We Used to Do
Problem: What do we do in an extract-min?


Order 1 Order 0


7

Idea 1: A tree has order $k$ if it has $2^{k}$ nodes.
Idea 2: A tree has order $k$ if its root has $k$ children.

Problem: What do we do in an extract-min?

| Order 2 | Order 1 |  | Order 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 5 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 5 | 3 |  |
|  | 6 | 8 | 2 |
|  | 10 | 6 |  |

Problem: What do we do in an extract-min?


Problem: What do we do in an extract-min?

| Order 2 | Order 1 |  | Order O |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 5 | 3 |  |
|  | 6 | 8 | 2 |
|  | 10 | 1 | 7 |

Problem: What do we do in an extract-min?

| Order 2 | Order 1 | Order 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{llll} 2 & 1 & 5 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 8 & 2 & 6 & 7 \\ & 1 & & \\ 4 & & \end{array}$ |  |

Problem: What do we do in an extract-min?
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Problem: What do we do in an extract-min?


Problem: What do we do in an extract-min?

| Order 2 | Order 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 5 | (2) 1 |
| $11+1$ | 11 |
| 5776 | (8) 2 |
| $1>1$ | 1 |
| $6 \quad 910$ | 4 |

Problem: What do we do in an extract-min?
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Problem: What do we do in an extract-min?

| Order 3 | Order 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 8 & 1 \\ 8 & 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 9 \\ 9 \end{array}$ |

Problem: What do we do in an extract-min?

| Order 3 | Order 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 8 & 1 \\ 8 & 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 9 \\ \hline 10 \end{array}$ |
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Problem: What do we do in an extract-min?

(1) To do a decrease-key, cut the node from its parent. (2) Do extract-min as usual, using child count as order.

Question: How efficient is this?

(1) To do a decrease-key, cut the node from its parent.
(2) Do extract-min as usual, using child count as order.

Claim: Our trees can end up with very unusual shapes.

Intuition: extract-min is only fast if it compacts nodes into a few trees.

There are $\Theta\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$ trees here.
Why?


Answer at
https://pollev.com/cs166spr23

Claim: Because tree shapes aren't well-constrained, we can force extract-min to take amortized time $\Omega\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$.

Intuition: extract-min is only fast if it compacts nodes into a few trees.

There are $\Theta\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$ trees here.
What happens if we repeatedly enqueue and extract-min a small value?
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(Do a bunch of work to compact the trees, which doesn't accomplish anything.)
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There are $\Theta\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$ trees here.
What happens if we repeatedly enqueue and extract-min a small value?


Claim: Because tree shapes aren't well-constrained, we can force extract-min to take amortized time $\Omega\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$.

Intuition: extract-min is only fast if it compacts nodes into a few trees.

There are $\Theta\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$ trees here.
What happens if we repeatedly enqueue and extract-min a small value?

(Do a bunch of work to compact the trees, which doesn't accomplish anything.)

Claim: Because tree shapes aren't well-constrained, we can force extract-min to take amortized time $\Omega\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$.

## Intuition: extract-min

 is only fast if it compacts nodes into a few trees.There are $\Theta\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$ trees here.
What happens if we repeatedly enqueue and extract-min a small value?


Each operation does
$\Theta\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$ work, and doesn't make any future operations any better.

Claim: Because tree shapes aren't well-constrained, we can force extract-min to take amortized time $\Omega\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$.


With $n$ nodes, it's possible to have $\Omega\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$ trees of distinct orders.

Question: Why didn't this happen before?


Question: Why didn't this happen before?

Intuition: Allow trees to get somewhat imbalanced, slowly propagating information to the root.

Rule: Nodes can lose at most one child. If a node loses two children, cut it from its parent.

Goal: Make tree sizes grow exponentially with order, but still allow for subtrees to be cut out quickly.
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Goal: Make tree sizes grow exponentially with order, but still allow for subtrees to be cut out quickly.
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Intuition: Allow trees to get somewhat imbalanced, slowly propagating information to the root.

Rule: Nodes can lose at most one child. If a node loses two children, cut it from its parent.

Goal: Make tree sizes grow exponentially with order, but still allow for subtrees to be cut out quickly.

Intuition: Allow trees to get somewhat imbalanced, slowly propagating information to the root.

Rule: Nodes can lose at most one child. If a node loses two children, cut it from its parent.

This node is marked to indicate that it has lost a child.

Goal: Make tree sizes grow exponentially with order, but still allow for subtrees to be cut out quickly.
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Rule: Nodes can lose at most one child. If a node loses two children, cut it from its parent.
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Intuition: Allow trees to get somewhat imbalanced, slowly propagating information to the root.

Rule: Nodes can lose at most one child. If a node loses two children, cut it from its parent.
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Goal: Make tree sizes grow exponentially with order, but still allow for subtrees to be cut out quickly.

Intuition: Allow trees to get somewhat imbalanced, slowly propagating information to the root.

Rule: Nodes can lose at most one child. If a node loses two children, cut it from its parent.

Goal: Make tree sizes grow exponentially with order, but still allow for subtrees to be cut out quickly.

Intuition: Allow trees to get somewhat imbalanced, slowly propagating information to the root.

Rule: Nodes can lose at most one child. If a node loses two children, cut it from its parent.

Question: Does this guarantee exponential tree size?

## Maximally-Damaged Trees

- Here's a binomial tree of order 4. That is, the root has four children.
- Question: Using our marking scheme, how many nodes can we remove without changing the order of the tree?
- Equivalently: how many nodes can we remove without removing any direct children of the root?



## Maximally-Damaged Trees

## Maximally-Damaged Trees

## Maximally-Damaged Trees



## Maximally-Damaged Trees



We can't cut any nodes from this tree without making the root node have order 0 .

## Maximally-Damaged Trees



## Maximally-Damaged Trees



We can't cut any of the root's children without decreasing its order.

## Maximally-Damaged Trees



## Maximally-Damaged Trees

## (1) 2 <br> $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}$

## Maximally-Damaged Trees



## Maximally-Damaged Trees



As before, we can't cut any of the root's children without decreasing its order.
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## Maximally-Damaged Trees



Claim: The minimum number of nodes in a tree of order $k$ is $\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{k}+\mathbf{2}}$

These trees are the base cases for our inductive line of reasoning.

Theorem: The minimum number of nodes in a tree of order $k$ is $\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{2}}$.

> A binomial tree of order $k+2$.

What's the maximum amount of damage we can do to this tree without cutting any of the direct children of the root?

Theorem: The minimum number of nodes in a tree of order $k$ is $\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{2}}$.

## A binomial tree of order $k+2$.
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Theorem: The minimum number of nodes in a tree of order $k$ is $\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{2}}$.

A binomial tree of order $k+1$.

Remove as many nodes here as possible without cutting any direct children of the root.

## Theorem: The minimum number of nodes in a tree of order $k$ is $\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{k}+\mathbf{2}}$.

A (former)
binomial tree of order $k+1$.

Remove as many nodes here as possible without cutting any direct children of the root.

## Theorem: The minimum number of nodes in a tree of order $k$ is $\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{k}+\mathbf{2}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A (former) } \\
& \text { binomial tree of } \\
& \text { order } k+1 \text {. } \\
& \hline \text { Remove as many nodes } \\
& \text { here as possible without } \\
& \text { cutting any direct } \\
& \text { children of the root. } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem: The minimum number of nodes in a tree of order $k$ is $\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{k}+\mathbf{2}}$.

A (former)
binomial tree of
order $k+1$.


A maximallydamaged tree of order $k+1$.
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Theorem: The minimum number of nodes in a tree of order $k$ is $\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{2}}$.

$$
\text { Fact: } \begin{aligned}
F_{k} & =\Theta\left(\varphi^{k}\right), \text { where } \\
\varphi & =\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

is the golden ratio.
Corollary: The number of nodes in a tree of order $k$ grows exponentially with $k$ (approximately $1.61^{k}$ versus our previous $2^{k}$ ).

Theorem: The minimum number of nodes in a tree of order $k$ is $\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{2}}$.

A Fibonacci heap is a lazy binomial heap with decrease-key implemented using the "lose at most one child" marking scheme.

## How fast are the operations on Fibonacci heaps?

## $\Phi=t$

where
$t$ is the number of trees.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Actual cost: } \mathrm{O}(1) \\
& \Delta \Phi:+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Amortized cost: O(1).

Each enqueue slowly introduces trees. Each extract-min rapidly cleans them up.
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where
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Each decrease-key may trigger a chain of cuts. Those chains happen due to previous decrease-keys.
$\Phi=t$
where
$t$ is the number of trees.

Idea: Factor the number of marked nodes into our potential to offset the cost of cascading cuts.
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## $\Phi=t+m$

where
$t$ is the number of trees and $m$ is the number of marked nodes.


## Actual cost: $\mathrm{O}(C)$ $\Delta \Phi:+1$

Amortized cost: $\mathbf{O}(C)$.

Idea: Factor the number of marked nodes into our potential to offset the cost of cascading cuts.

## $\Phi=t+m$

where
$t$ is the number of trees and $m$ is the number of marked nodes.

Idea 2: Each decrease-key hurts twice: once in a cascading cut, and once in an extract-min.
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Idea 2: Each decrease-key hurts twice: once in a cascading cut, and once in an extract-min.

## The Overall Analysis

- Here's the final scorecard for the Fibonacci heap.
- These are excellent theoretical runtimes. There's minimal room for improvement!
- Later work made all these operations worst-case efficient at a significant increase in both runtime and intellectual complexity.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { enqueue: } \mathrm{O}(1) \\
& \text { find-min: } \mathrm{O}(1) \\
& \text { meld: } \mathrm{O}(1) \\
& \text { extract-min: } \mathrm{O}(\log n)^{*} \\
& \text { decrease-key: } \mathrm{O}(1)^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Representation Issues

## Representing Trees

- The trees in a Fibonacci heap must be able to do the following:
- During a merge: Add one tree as a child of the root of another tree.
- During a cut: Cut a node from its parent in time O(1).
- Claim: This is trickier than it looks.
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Finding this pointer might take time $\Theta(\log n)$ !

## The Solution

## The Solution

This is going to be weird. Sorry.
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The children of each node are in a circularly, doubly-linked list.
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To cut a node from its parent, if it isn't the representative child, just splice it out of its linked list.
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If it is the representative, change the parent's representative child to be one of the node's siblings.

## Awful Linked Lists

- Trees are stored as follows:
- Each node stores a pointer to some child.
- Each node stores a pointer to its parent.
- Each node is in a circularly-linked list of its siblings.
- The following possible are now possible in time $\mathrm{O}(1)$ :
- Cut a node from its parent.
- Add another child node to a node.


## Fibonacci Heap Nodes

- Each node in a Fibonacci heap stores
- A pointer to its parent.
- A pointer to the next sibling.
- A pointer to the previous sibling.
- A pointer to an arbitrary child.
- A bit for whether it's marked.
- Its order.
- Its key.
- Its element.


## In Practice

- In practice, the constant factors on Fibonacci heaps make it slower than other heaps, except on huge graphs or workflows with tons of decrease-keys.
- Why?
- Huge memory requirements per node.
- High constant factors on all operations.
- Poor locality of reference and caching.


## In Theory

- That said, Fibonacci heaps are worth knowing about for several reasons:
- Clever use of a two-tiered potential function shows up in lots of data structures.
- Implementation of decrease-key forms the basis for many other advanced priority queues.
- Gives the theoretically optimal comparisonbased implementation of Prim's and Dijkstra's algorithms.


## More to Explore

- Since the development of Fibonacci heaps, there have been a number of other priority queues with similar runtimes.
- In 1986, a powerhouse team (Fredman, Sedgewick, Sleator, and Tarjan) invented the pairing heap. It's much simpler than a Fibonacci heap, is fast in practice, but its runtime bounds are unknown!
- In 2012, Brodal et al. invented the strict Fibonacci heap. It has the same time bounds as a Fibonacci heap, but in a worst-case rather than amortized sense.
- In 2013, Chan invented the quake heap. It matches the asymptotic bounds of a Fibonacci heap but uses a totally different strategy.
- Also interesting to explore: if the weights on the edges in a graph are chosen from a continuous distribution, the expected number of decrease-keys in Dijkstra's algorithm is $\mathrm{O}(n \log (m / n))$. That might counsel another heap structure!
- Also interesting to explore: binary heaps generalize to $b$-ary heaps, where each node has $b$ children. Picking $b=\log (2+m / n)$ makes Dijkstra and Prim run in time $\mathrm{O}(m \log n / \log m / n)$, which is $\mathrm{O}(m)$ if $m=\Theta\left(n^{1+\varepsilon}\right)$ for any $\varepsilon>0$.


## Next Time

- Randomized Data Structures
- Doing well on average, broadly speaking.
- Frequency Estimation
- Counting in sublinear space.
- Count-Min Sketches
- A simple, elegant, fast, and widely-used data structure.

